

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,921	•	11/13/2003	Hiroko Watanabe	056207.52917US	7306
23911	7590	03/23/2005		EXAMINER	
CROWELI		_ <del>-</del> - <del>-</del>	TRAN, BINH Q		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP P.O. BOX 14300				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHING	ON, DC	20044-4300	3748		

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.   Applicant(s)   WATANABE ET AL.   Examiner   BINH O. TRAN   3748   3								
Examiner BINH 0. TRAN  - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Examinon of internary is enabled under the positions of 37 CFR 1.138(s), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled  - If the period for reply is pacified abuse, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SY (0) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply is pacified abuse, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SY (0) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If No period for reply is pacified abuse, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SY (0) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If No period for reply is pacified abuse, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SY (0) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Any reply received by the Office later then theire months after the mailing date of this communication.  - Any reply received by the Office later then theire months after the mailing date of this communication.  - Status  - The Responsitive to communication(s) filed on		Application No. Applicant(s)						
BINH O. TRAN   3748		10/705,921	WATANABE ET AL.	ED				
- The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Experience of turn may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In to event, however, may a reply be limited piled  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, as pay within the statutory minimum of hirty (30) days, will be considered timely,  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, as pay within the statutory minimum of hirty (30) days, will be considered stimely,  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, as pay within the statutory minimum of hirty (30) days, will be considered stimely,  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, as pay within the statutory minimum of hirty (30) days will be considered stimely,  If the period for reply specified above, the manimum factory and will experience the manifest of the transmitter of the period of the communication.  Pay the period of the specified of the period of the communication to become ABANCONED (30 U.S.C. § 133).  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  Algorithms of the above claim(s)	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - and SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - and state 51X (8) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication of the state of	•							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available used the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(6). In no event, however, may a raply be limity filed after 50x (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  It NO period for raply is specified used the provision of 37 CFR 1.13(6). In no event, however, may a raply be limity (30) days will be considered limity.  If NO period for raply is specified above, the maintening date of this communication.  Failure to raply within the set or extended period for raply will. by a statutory period raply and will exains this (5) (MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even if family field, may reduce any example plants to raply within the set of extended period for raply will. by a statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 13).  Any reply received by the fifthe later than three maintening date of this communication, even if family field, may reduce any example plants them adjustment. See 57 CFR 1.79(6):  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addres	SS				
1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.				
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4	Status							
3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4   Claim(s) 7-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5   Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6   Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7   Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8   Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8   Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9   The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10   The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)   accepted or b)   objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)   All b)   Some * c)   None of: 1.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(e) 1)   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2)   Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)								
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-1449 or PTO/5B08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		·		erits is				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<ul> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> </ul>	wn from consideration.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some colonge of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		• , ,	, ,					
12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_		•					
a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Sta	ge				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
	3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P		2)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Receipt and entry of Applicant's Preliminary Amendment dated November 13, 2003 is acknowledged.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. More specifically,

- In claim 4, line 4, the use of alternative expression "or " renders the claims indefinite because the expressions on either side of the "or" are not considered equivalent and cause uncertainty with respect to the scope of the claims.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

Art Unit: 3748

(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claims 1-5, and 7-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Murachi et al. (Murachi) (Patent Number 5,746,989).

Regarding claims 1, and 11-12, Murachi discloses a exhaust gas purification apparatus for purifying exhaust gas exhausted from a diesel internal combustion engine (1) and containing therein harmful substances including particulates, NOx, HC and CO, at least, said exhaust gas purification apparatus comprising: a removal part (7) for trapping and removing particulates in the exhaust gas; a purification part (e.g. 5, 9, 10) for purifying NOx, HC and CO through contact; and a heat transfer part (5a) for transferring heat generated in said removal part to said purification part (e.g. See col. 3, lines 43-67; col. 4, lines 1-64; col. 7, lines 26-67; col. 8, lines 21).

Regarding claims 2 and 13, Murachi further discloses that the heat transfer part for transferring heat generated in said removal part is based on conduction, retention or radiation of the heat (e.g. See col. 4, lines 15-28; col. 7, lines 26-67).

Regarding claim 3, Murachi further discloses that the removal part for trapping and removing particulates in the exhaust gas employs a filter composed of porous material or metal material (e.g. See col. 3, lines 43-67; col. 4, lines 1-64).

Regarding claim 4, Murachi further discloses that the purification part for purifying NOx, HC, CO through contact is a three-way catalyst, firing combustion catalyst, lean NOx catalyst purifying NOx in lean exhaust gas, HC adsorption catalyst, or electric catalyst (e.g. See col. 3, lines 43-67; col. 4, lines 1-64).

Regarding claim 5, Murachi further discloses that the heat transfer part (5a) for transferring heat generated in said removal part conducts (heat transfer) through a good heat conductor (e.g. See col. 4, lines 15-28; col. 7, lines 26-67).

Regarding claim 7, Murachi further discloses that the heat transfer part for transferring heat generated in said removal part uses heat accumulating material (e.g. See col. 4, lines 15-28; col. 7, lines 26-67).

Regarding claim 8, Murachi further discloses that the removal part for trapping and removing particulates in the exhaust gas and said purification part for purifying NOx, HC and CO through contact are constructed as an integrated structure in which metal material is used as a substrate (e.g. See col. 3, lines 43-67; col. 4, lines 1-64).

Regarding claim 9, Murachi further discloses that the exhaust gas purification apparatus is arranged so that said purification part is arranged at an upstream side of said exhaust gas purification apparatus and said removal part is arranged at a downstream side thereof (e.g. See col. 3, lines 43-67; col. 4, lines 1-64).

Regarding claim 10, Murachi further discloses that wherein in order to transfer the heat generated in said removal part for removing particulates to said purification part at the upstream side, exhaust gas including combustion heat of particulates is transferred to said purification part at the upstream side (e.g. See col. 3, lines 43-67; col. 4, lines 1-64).

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Clerc et al. (Clerc) (Patent Number 5,052,178).

Regarding claims 1, and 11-12, Clerc discloses a exhaust gas purification apparatus for purifying exhaust gas exhausted from a diesel internal combustion engine (Figs. 1-2) and

Application/Control Number: 10/705,921

Art Unit: 3748

containing therein harmful substances including particulates, NOx, HC and CO, at least, said exhaust gas purification apparatus comprising: a removal part (14) for trapping and removing particulates in the exhaust gas; a purification part (16) for purifying NOx, HC and CO through contact; and a heat transfer part (18) for transferring heat generated in said removal part to said purification part (e.g. See col. 3, lines 40-67; col. 4, lines 1-48).

Regarding claims 2 and 13, Clerc further discloses that the heat transfer part for transferring heat generated in said removal part is based on conduction, retention or radiation of the heat (e.g. See col. 3, lines 40-67; col. 4, lines 1-48).

Regarding claim 3, Clerc further discloses that the removal part for trapping and removing particulates in the exhaust gas employs a filter composed of porous material or metal material (e.g. See col. 3, lines 43-67; col. 4, lines 1-64).

Regarding claim 4, Clerc further discloses that the purification part for purifying NOx, HC, CO through contact is a three-way catalyst, firing combustion catalyst, lean NOx catalyst purifying NOx in lean exhaust gas, HC adsorption catalyst, or electric catalyst (e.g. See col. 3, lines 40-67; col. 4, lines 1-48).

Regarding claim 5, Clerc further discloses that the heat transfer part (5a) for transferring heat generated in said removal part conducts (heat transfer) through a good heat conductor (e.g. See col. 3, lines 40-67; col. 4, lines 1-48).

Regarding claim 6, Clerc further discloses that the removal part for trapping and removing particulates in the exhaust gas and said purification part for purifying NOx, HC and CO through contact are provided in a container (2) made of material including good heat conductor, without intervening any heat insulator between an inner wall surface of said container and an outer wall

Art Unit: 3748

surface of said container, and the heat generated in said removal part is transferred to said purification part (e.g. See Figs. 1-2; col. 3, lines 40-67; col. 4, lines 1-48).

Regarding claim 7, Clerc further discloses that the heat transfer part for transferring heat generated in said removal part uses heat accumulating material (e.g. See col. 3, lines 40-67; col. 4, lines 1-48).

Regarding claim 8, Clerc further discloses that the removal part for trapping and removing particulates in the exhaust gas and said purification part for purifying NOx, HC and CO through contact are constructed as an integrated structure in which metal material is used as a substrate (e.g. See col. 3, lines 40-67; col. 4, lines 1-48).

Regarding claim 9, Clerc further discloses that the exhaust gas purification apparatus is arranged so that said purification part is arranged at an upstream side of said exhaust gas purification apparatus and said removal part is arranged at a downstream side thereof (e.g. See col. 3, lines 40-67; col. 4, lines 1-48).

Regarding claim 10, Clerc further discloses that wherein in order to transfer the heat generated in said removal part for removing particulates to said purification part at the upstream side, exhaust gas including combustion heat of particulates is transferred to said purification part at the upstream side (e.g. See col. 3, lines 40-67; col. 4, lines 1-48).

#### Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure and consists of five patents:

Application/Control Number: 10/705,921

Art Unit: 3748

Fukuda et al. (Pat. No. 5822977), Takeshima et al. (Pat. No. 5388406), Kammel (Pat. No.

5121601), Hirota et al. (Pat. No. 5974791), and Kinugasa et al. (Pat. No. 6032461) all discloses an

exhaust gas purification for use with an internal combustion engine.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Examiner Binh Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-4865. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Thomas E. Denion, can be reach on (571) 272-4859. The fax phone numbers for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications

and for After Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BT

March 18, 2005

Binh Q. Tran

Patent Examiner

Page 7

Art Unit 3748